

The Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRADLEY WOOLARD, *et al.*,

Defendants.

NO. CR18-217-RSM

**THIRD PROTECTIVE ORDER  
RESTRAINING CERTAIN  
FORFEITABLE PROPERTY**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Third Motion for Entry of a Protective Order Restraining Certain Forfeitable Property, as follows:

a. Approximately \$15,000 in U.S. currency that was seized on or about October 10, 2019 from a residence located at 2719 84th Drive NE, Lake Stevens, Washington;

b. Approximately \$5,119 in U.S. currency that was seized on or about October 10, 2019 from a residence located at 2719 84th Drive NE, Lake Stevens, Washington; and

c. Approximately \$900 in U.S. currency that was seized on or about October 10, 2019 from a residence located at 2719 84th Drive NE, Lake Stevens, Washington.

1 The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby  
2 FINDS entry of a protective order restraining the above-described property (the “Subject  
3 Property”) is appropriate because:

- 4 • A defendant in this case, Timothy Mantie, has been charged in the Third  
5 Superseding Indictment with the offense of *Conspiracy to Distribute*  
6 *Controlled Substances*, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 (as set  
7 forth in Count 1) (Dkt. No. 279);
- 8 • The Third Superseding Indictment and the Third Forfeiture Bill of Particulars  
9 provided notice of the United States’ intent to seek the forfeiture of property,  
10 including the Subject Property, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(a) (Dkt. Nos. 279,  
11 368);
- 12 • Based on the facts set forth in the Affidavit of Drug Enforcement  
13 Administration Special Agent Joseph Cheng, there is probable cause to  
14 believe that the Subject Property is subject to forfeiture in this case; and
- 15 • To ensure that the Subject Property remains available for forfeiture, its  
16 continued restraint, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(e)(1), is appropriate.

17  
18 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

19 1. The United States’ request for a protective order restraining the Subject  
20 Property pending the conclusion of this case is GRANTED; and

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

